

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS  
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION  
FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT BE HELD  
IN CONTEMPT OF THE PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION ORDER (Dkt. 426) AND  
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY ORDER (Dkt.  
61)**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal  
 2 (“Waymo’s Administrative Motion”) certain information in its Reply in Support of its Motion for  
 3 Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held In Contempt of the Preliminary  
 4 Injunction Order (Dkt. 426) and Expedited Discovery Order (Dkt. 61).

5 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been  
 6 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the  
 7 documents listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Reply	Highlighted Portions	Defendants (blue highlighting)

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

14 \_\_\_\_\_  
 15 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP  
 United States District Court Judge